Difference Cover Sampling

A difference cover D_q modulo q is a subset of [0..q) such that all values in [0..q) can be expressed as a difference of two elements in D_q modulo q. In other words:

$$[0..q) = \{i - j \mod q \mid i, j \in D_q\}$$
 .

Example 4.20: $D_7 = \{1, 2, 4\}$

1 - 1 = 0	$1-4=-3\equiv 4$	(mod q)
2 - 1 = 1	$2-4 = -2 \equiv 5$	(mod q)
4 - 2 = 2	$1-2 = -1 \equiv 6$	(mod q)
4 - 1 = 3		

In general, we want the smallest possible difference cover for a given q.

- For any q, there exist a difference cover D_q of size $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{q})$.
- The DC3 algorithm uses the simplest non-trivial difference cover $D_3 = \{1, 2\}.$

A difference cover sample is a set T_C of suffixes, where

 $C = \{i \in [0..n] \mid (i \mod q) \in D_q\} .$

Example 4.21: If T = banana and $D_3 = \{1, 2\}$, then $C = \{1, 2, 4, 5\}$ and $T_C = \{\text{anana}, \text{nana}, \text{na}, \text{as}, \text{as}\}$.

Once we have sorted the difference cover sample T_C , we can compare any two suffixes in $\mathcal{O}(q)$ time. To compare suffixes T_i and T_j :

- If $i \in C$ and $j \in C$, then we already know their order from T_C .
- Otherwise, find ℓ such that i + ℓ ∈ C and j + ℓ ∈ C. There always exists such ℓ ∈ [0..q). Then compare:

$$T_i = T[i..i + \ell)T_{i+\ell}$$
$$T_j = T[j..j + \ell)T_{j+\ell}$$

That is, compare first $T[i..i + \ell)$ to $T[j..j + \ell)$, and if they are the same, then $T_{i+\ell}$ to $T_{j+\ell}$ using the sorted T_C .

Example 4.22: $D_3 = \{1,2\}$ and $C = \{1,2,4,5,...\}$ $T_0 = T[0]T_1$ $T_1 = T[1]T_2$ $T_2 = T[2]T[3]T_4$ $T_3 = T[3]T_4$

Algorithm 4.23: DC3

Step 0: Choose *C*.

- Use difference cover $D_3 = \{1, 2\}$.
- For $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, define $C_k = \{i \in [0..n] \mid i \mod 3 = k\}$.
- Let $C = C_1 \cup C_2$ and $\overline{C} = C_0$.

Example 4.24: *i* 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 *T*[*i*] y a b b a d a b b a d o \$

 $\overline{C} = C_0 = \{0, 3, 6, 9, 12\}, C_1 = \{1, 4, 7, 10\}, C_2 = \{2, 5, 8, 11\}$ and $C = \{1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11\}.$

Step 1: Sort T_C .

- For $k \in \{1, 2\}$, Construct the strings $R_k = (T_k^3, T_{k+3}^3, T_{k+6}^3, \dots, T_{\max C_k}^3)$ whose characters are 3-factors of the text, and let $R = R_1 R_2$.
- Replace each factor T_i^3 in R with a lexicographic name $N_i^3 \in [1..|R|]$. The names can be computed by sorting the factors with LSD radix sort in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time. Let R' be the result appended with 0.
- Construct the inverse suffix array $SA_{R'}^{-1}$ of R'. This is done recursively using DC3 unless all symbols in R' are unique, in which case $SA_{R'}^{-1} = R'$.
- From $SA_{R'}^{-1}$, we get lexicographic names for suffixes in T_C . For $i \in C$, let $N_i = SA_{R'}^{-1}[j]$, where j is the position of T_i^3 in R. For $i \in \overline{C}$, let $N_i = \bot$. Also let $N_{n+1} = N_{n+2} = 0$.

Example 4.25:					abb	ada									_
			R	R' 1		2	4		7	4		6	3	8	0
		S	$SA_{R'}^{-1}$	-	1	2		5	7	2	4	6	3	8	0
													12	13	14
$T[i] \ N_i$	•												\$ ⊥	0	0

Step 2(a): Sort $T_{\overline{C}}$.

- For each $i \in \overline{C}$, we represent T_i with the pair $(T[i], N_{i+1})$. Then $T_i \leq T_j \iff (T[i], N_{i+1}) \leq (T[j], N_{j+1})$. Note that $N_{i+1} \neq \bot$ for all $i \in \overline{C}$.
- The pairs $(T[i], N_{i+1})$ are sorted by LSD radix sort in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time.

Example 4.26:

i	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
T[i]	У	a	b	b	a	d	a	b	b	a	d	ο	\$
N_i	\bot	1	4	\bot	2	6	\bot	5	3	\perp	7	8	\bot

 $T_{12} < T_6 < T_9 < T_3 < T_0$ because (\$, 0) < (a, 5) < (a, 7) < (b, 2) < (y, 1).

Step 2(b): Merge T_C and $T_{\overline{C}}$.

- Use comparison based merging algorithm needing $\mathcal{O}(n)$ comparisons.
- To compare $T_i \in T_C$ and $T_j \in T_{\overline{C}}$, we have two cases:

 $i \in C_1 : T_i \leq T_j \iff (T[i], N_{i+1}) \leq (T[j], N_{j+1})$ $i \in C_2 : T_i \leq T_j \iff (T[i], T[i+1], N_{i+2}) \leq (T[j], T[j+1], N_{j+2})$

Note that none of the N-values is \perp .

Example 4.27:

i	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
T[i]	у	a	b	b	a	d	a	b	b	a	d	0	\$
N_i	\perp	1	4	\perp	2	6	\perp	5	3	\perp	7	8	\perp

 $T_1 < T_6$ because (a, 4) < (a, 5). $T_3 < T_8$ because (b, a, 6) < (b, a, 7). **Theorem 4.28:** Algorithm DC3 constructs the suffix array of a string T[0..n) in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time plus the time needed to sort the characters of T.

There are many variants:

- DC3 is an optimal algorithm under several parallel and external memory computation models, too. There exists both parallel and external memory implementations of DC3.
- Using a larger value of q, we obtain more space efficient algorithms. For example, using $q = \log n$, the time complexity is $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ and the space needed in addition to the text and the suffix array is $\mathcal{O}(n/\sqrt{\log n})$.

Induced Sorting

Define three type of suffixes -, + and * as follows:

$$C^{-} = \{i \in [0..n) \mid T_i > T_{i+1}\}$$
$$C^{+} = \{i \in [0..n) \mid T_i < T_{i+1}\}$$
$$C^{*} = \{i \in C^{+} \mid i - 1 \in C^{-}\}$$

Example 4.29:

i	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
T[i]	m	m	i	S	S	i	S	S	i	i	р	р	i	i	\$
type of T_i	_	_	*	_	_	*	_	_	*	+	_		_	_	

For every $a \in \Sigma$ and $x \in \{-, +.*\}$ define

$$C_a = \{i \in [0..n] \mid T[i] = a\}$$
$$C_a^x = C_a \cap C^x$$

Then

$$C_a^{-} = \{i \in C_a \mid T_i < a^{n+1}\}$$
$$C_a^{+} = \{i \in C_a \mid T_i > a^{n+1}\}$$

and thus, if $i \in C_a^-$ and $j \in C_a^+$, then $T_i < T_j$. Hence the suffix array is $C_0C_1C_2\ldots C_{\sigma-1} = C_0C_1^-C_1^+C_2^-C_2^+\ldots C_{\sigma-1}^-C_{\sigma-1}^+$.

The basic idea of induced sorting is to use information about the order of T_i to **induce** the order of the suffix $T_{i-1} = T[i-1]T_i$. The main steps are:

- **1.** Sort the sets C_a^* , $a \in [1..\sigma)$.
- **2.** Use C_a^* , $a \in [1..\sigma)$, to induce the order of the sets C_a^- , $a \in [1..\sigma)$.
- **3.** Use C_a^- , $a \in [1..\sigma)$, to induce the order of the sets C_a^+ , $a \in [1..\sigma)$.

The suffixes involved in the induction steps can be indentified using the following rules (proof is left as an exercise).

Lemma 4.30: For all $a \in [1..\sigma)$ (a) $i - 1 \in C_a^-$ iff i > 0 and T[i - 1] = a and one of the following holds 1. $i \in C_0$ (i = n)2. $i \in C^*$ 3. $i \in C^-$ and $T[i - 1] \ge T[i]$. (b) $i - 1 \in C_a^+$ iff i > 0 and T[i - 1] = a and one of the following holds 1. $i \in C^-$ and T[i - 1] < T[i]2. $i \in C^+$ and T[i - 1] < T[i]. To induce --type suffixes:

- **1.** Set C_a^- empty for all $a \in [1..\sigma)$.
- **2.** For all suffixes T_i such that $i 1 \in C^-$ in lexicographical order, append i 1 into $C^-_{T[i-1]}$.

By Lemma 4.30(a), Step 2 can be done by checking the relevant conditions for all $i \in C_0 C_1^- C_1^* C_2^- C_2^* \dots$

Algorithm 4.31: InduceMinusSuffixes Input: Lexicographically sorted lists C_a^* , $a \in \Sigma$ Output: Lexicographically sorted lists C_a^- , $a \in \Sigma$ (1) for $a \in \Sigma$ do $C_a^- \leftarrow \emptyset$ (2) $pushback(n-1, C_{T[n-1]}^-)$ (3) for $a \leftarrow 1$ to $\sigma - 1$ do (4) for $i \in C_a^-$ do // include elements added during the loop (5) if i > 0 and $T[i-1] \ge a$ then $pushback(i-1, C_{T[i-1]}^-)$ (6) for $i \in C_a^*$ do $pushback(i-1, C_{T[i-1]}^-)$

Note that since $T_{i-1} > T_i$ by definition of C^- , we always have *i* inserted before i - 1.

Inducing +-type suffixes goes similarly but in reverse order so that again i is always inserted before i - 1:

- **1.** Set C_a^+ empty for all $a \in [1..\sigma)$.
- **2.** For all suffixes T_i such that $i 1 \in C^+$ in **descending** lexicographical order, append i 1 into $C^+_{T[i-1]}$.

Algorithm 4.32: InducePlusSuffixes

Input: Lexicographically sorted lists C_a^- , $a \in \Sigma$ Output: Lexicographically sorted lists C_a^+ , $a \in \Sigma$

(1) for
$$a \in \Sigma$$
 do $C_a^+ \leftarrow \emptyset$
(2) for $a \leftarrow \sigma - 1$ downto 1 do
(3) for $i \in C_a^+$ in reverse order do // include elements added during loop
(4) if $i > 0$ and $T[i - 1] \le a$ then $pushfront(i - 1, C_{T[i-1]}^+)$
(5) for $i \in C_a^-$ in reverse order do
(6) if $i > 0$ and $T[i - 1] < a$ then $pushfront(i - 1, C_{T[i-1]}^+)$

We still need to explain how to sort the *-type suffixes. Define

$$F[i] = \min\{k \in [i+1..n] \mid k \in C^* \text{ or } k = n\}$$

$$S_i = T[i..F[i]]$$

$$S'_i = S_i \sigma$$

where σ is a special symbol larger than any other symbol.

Lemma 4.33: For any $i, j \in [0..n)$, $T_i < T_j$ iff $S'_i < S'_j$ or $S'_i = S'_j$ and $T_{F[i]} < T_{F[j]}$.

Proof. The claim is trivially true except in the case that S_j is a proper prefix of S_i (or vice versa). In that case, $S_i > S_j$ but $S'_i < S'_j$ and thus $T_i < T_j$ by the claim. We will show that this is correct.

Let $\ell = F[j]$ and $k = i + \ell - j$. Then

- $\ell \in C^*$ and thus $\ell 1 \in C^-$. By Lemma 4.30, $T[\ell] < T[\ell 1]$.
- $T[k-1..k] = T[\ell 1..\ell]$ and thus T[k] < T[k-1]. If we had $k \in C^+$, we would have $k \in C^*$. Since this is not the case, we must have $k \in C^-$.
- Let $a = T[\ell]$. Since $\ell \in C_a^+$ and $k \in C_a^-$, we must have $T_k < a^{n+1} < T_\ell$.
- Since $T[i..k) = T[j..\ell)$ and $T_k < T_\ell$, we have $T_i < T_j$.

Algorithm 4.34: SAIS

Step 0: Choose *C*.

- Compute the types of suffixes. This can be done in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time based on Lemma 4.30.
- Set $C = \bigcup_{a \in [1..\sigma)} C_a^* \cup \{n\}$. Note that $|C| \le n/2$, since for all $i \in C$, $i-1 \in C^- \subseteq \overline{C}$.

Example 4.35:

 $C_{i}^{*} = \{2, 5, 8\}, C_{m}^{*} = C_{p}^{*} = C_{s}^{*} = \emptyset, C = \{2, 5, 8, 14\}.$

Step 1: Sort T_C .

- Sort the strings S'_i , $i \in C^*$. Since the total length of the strings S'_i is $\mathcal{O}(n)$, the sorting can be done in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time using LSD radix sort.
- Assign lexicographic names $N_i \in [1..|C|-1]$ to the string S'_i so that $N_i \leq N_j$ iff $S'_i \leq S'_j$.
- Construct the sequence $R = N_{i_1}N_{i_2} \dots N_k 0$, where $i_1 < i_3 < \dots < i_k$ are the *-type positions.
- Construct the suffix array SA_R of R. This is done recursively unless all symbols in R are unique, in which case a simple counting sort is sufficient.
- The order of the suffixes of R corresponds to the order of *-type suffixes of T. Thus we can construct the lexicographically ordered lists C_a^* , $a \in [1..\sigma)$.

Example 4.36:

 Step 2: Sort $T_{[0..n]}$.

- Run InduceMinusSuffixes to construct the sorted lists C_a^- , $a \in [1..\sigma)$.
- Run InducePlusSuffixes to construct the sorted lists C_a^+ , $a \in [1..\sigma)$.
- The suffix array is $SA = nC_1^-C_1^+C_2^-C_2^+\dots C_{\sigma-1}^-C_{\sigma-1}^+$.

Example 4.37:

 $C_{\$} = (14) \implies C_{i}^{-} = (13, 12)$ $C_{i}^{-}C_{i}^{*} = (13, 12, 8, 5, 2) \implies C_{m}^{-} = (1, 0), \ C_{p}^{-} = (11, 10), \ C_{s}^{-} = (7, 4, 6, 3)$ $\implies C_{i}^{+} = (8, 9, 5, 2)$ $\implies SA = C_{\$}C_{i}^{-}C_{i}^{+}C_{m}^{-}C_{p}^{-}C_{s}^{-} = (14, 13, 12, 8, 9, 5, 2, 1, 0, 11, 10, 7, 4, 6, 3)$

205

Theorem 4.38: Algorithm SAIS constructs the suffix array of a string T[0..n) in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time plus the time needed to sort the characters of T.

- In Step 1, to sort the strings S'_i , $i \in C^*$, SAIS does not actually use LSD radix sort but the following procedure:
 - **1.** Construct the sets C_a^* , $a \in [1..\sigma)$ in arbitrary order.
 - **2.** Run InduceMinusSuffixes to construct the lists C_a^- , $a \in [1..\sigma)$.
 - **3.** Run InducePlusSuffixes to construct the lists C_a^- , $a \in [1..\sigma)$.
 - 4. Remove non-*-type positions from $C_1^+C_2^+\ldots C_{\sigma-1}^+$.

With this change, most of the work is done in the induction procedures. This is very fast in practice, because all the lists C_a^x are accessed **sequentially** during the procedures.

• The currently fastest suffix sorting implementation in practice is probably divsufsort by Yuta Mori. It sorts the *-type suffixes non-recursively in $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ time and then continues as SAIS.