

Lesson 2

Concurrency at Programming Language Level

Ch 2 [BenA 06]

Abstraction
Pseudo-language
BACI
Ada, Java, etc.

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011 1

Levels of Abstraction

- Granularity of operations
 - Invoke a library module
 - Statement in high level programming language
 - Instruction in machine language
- Atomic statement
 - Anything that we can guarantee to be atomic
 - Executed completely "at once"
 - Always the same correct atomic result
 - Result does not depend on anybody else
 - Can be at any granularity
 - Can *trust* on that atomicity

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011 2

Atomic Statement

- Atomicity guaranteed somehow
 - Machine instruction: HW
 - Memory bus transaction
 - Programming language statement, set of statements, or set of machine instructions
 - SW
 - Manually coded
 - Disable interrupts
 - OS synchronization primitives
 - Library module
 - SW
 - Manually coded inside
 - Provided automatically to the user by programming environment

Load R1, Y
Read mem(0x35FA8300)
-- start atomic
Load R1, Y
Sub R1, =1
Jpos R1, Here
-- end atomic

Monitors
Ch 7 [BenA 06]

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011 3

Concurrent Program

- Sequential process
 - Successive atomic statements
 - Control pointer (= program counter)
- Concurrent program
 - Finite set of sequential processes working for same goal
 - Arbitrary interleaving of atomic statements in different processes

3 processes (P, R, Q) interleaved execution

p1, r1, p2, q1

p3, ...
↑ cp_p

q2, ...
↑ cp_q

r2, ...
↑ cp_r

P: p1 → p2 → p3 → p4 ...

P: p1 → p2

Q: q1 → q2

p1 → q1 → p2 → q2,
p1 → q1 → q2 → p2,
p1 → p2 → q1 → q2,
q1 → p1 → q2 → p2,
q1 → p1 → p2 → q2,
q1 → q2 → p1 → p2.

p1 → q2 → p2 → q1

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011 4

Program State, Pseudo-language

- Sequential program
 - Algorithm 2.2: Trivial sequential program
- State
 - next statement to execute (cp, i.e., PC)
 - variable values

integer n ← 0

integer k1 ← 1

integer k2 ← 2

p1: n ← k1

p2: n ← k2

cp ← state

initial state

p1: n ← k1
k1 = 1, k2 = 2
n = 0

atomic statement

execute p1

state

p2: n ← k2
k1 = 1, k2 = 2
n = 1

atomic statement

execute p2

state

(end)
k1 = 1, k2 = 2
n = 2

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011 5

(Global) Program State

- Concurrent program
 - Algorithm 2.1: Trivial concurrent program
- Local state for each process
 - cp
 - Variable values
 - Local & global
- Global state for program
 - All cp's
 - All local variables
 - All global variables

integer n ← 0

integer k1 ← 1

integer k2 ← 2

p1: n ← k1

q1: n ← k2

q1: n ← k2
k1 = 1, k2 = 2
n = 1

execute p1

p1: (end)
q1: n ← k2
k1 = 1, k2 = 2
n = 1

execute q1

q1: (end)
k1 = 1, k2 = 2
n = 2

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011 6

Possible Program States

- List of processes in program
 - List of values for each process
 - cp
 - value of each local/global/shared variable

$p1: n \leftarrow k1$
 $q1: n \leftarrow k2$
 $k1 = 1, k2 = 2$
 $n = 0$

state: { { p1: $n \leftarrow k1$ – process p
 $k1 = 1$ }
 { q1: $n \leftarrow k2$ – process q
 $k2 = 2$ }
 $n = 0$ – shared variable }

- Nr of possible states can be (very) large
 - Not all states are reachable states! (saavutettavissa, saavutettava tila)
 - Different executions do not go through same states (even with same input)

unreachable state: { { p1: $n \leftarrow k1$
 $k1 = 2$ }
 { q1: $n \leftarrow k2$
 $k2 = 1$
 $n = 3$ } }

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011 7

State Diagram and Scenarios

State diagram

Process p	Process q	n	k1	k2
p1: $n \leftarrow k1$	q1: $n \leftarrow k2$	0	1	2
(end)	q1: $n \leftarrow k2$	1	1	2
(end)	(end)	2	1	2

Scenario 1 (left side)

- Transitions from one possible state to another
 - Executed statement must be one of those in the 1st state
- State diagram for concurrent program
 - Contains all reachable states and transitions
 - All possible executions are included, they are all correct!

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011 Discuss * 2 8

Atomic Statements

Algorithm 2.1: Trivial concurrent program

p	q
integer $k1 \leftarrow 1$	integer $k2 \leftarrow 2$
p1: $n \leftarrow k1$	q1: $n \leftarrow k2$

- Two scenarios
 - Both correct
 - Different result!

NO need to have the same result! Statements do the same, but overall result may be different. (see p. 19 [BenA 06])

- Atomic?
 - Assignment?
 - Boolean evaluation?
 - Increment?

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011 9

Algorithm 2.3: Atomic assignment statements

integer $n \leftarrow 0$

p	q
p1: $n \leftarrow n + 1$	q1: $n \leftarrow n + 1$

- Two scenarios for execution
 - Both correct
 - Both have the same result

P first, and then Q			Q first, and then P		
Process p	Process q	n	Process p	Process q	n
p1: $n \leftarrow n + 1$	q1: $n \leftarrow n + 1$	0	p1: $n \leftarrow n + 1$	q1: $n \leftarrow n + 1$	0
(end)	q1: $n \leftarrow n + 1$	1	p1: $n \leftarrow n + 1$	(end)	1
(end)	(end)	2	(end)	(end)	2

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011 10

Algorithm 2.3: Atomic assignment statements

integer $n \leftarrow 0$

p	q
p1: $n \leftarrow n + 1$	q1: $n \leftarrow n + 1$

Same statements with smaller atomic granularity:

Algorithm 2.4: Assignment statements with one global reference

integer $n \leftarrow 0$

p	q
integer temp p1: temp $\leftarrow n$ p2: $n \leftarrow temp + 1$	integer temp q1: temp $\leftarrow n$ q2: $n \leftarrow temp + 1$

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011 11

Too Small Atomic Granularity

Algorithm 2.4: Assignment statements with one global reference

		integer $n \leftarrow 0$				
		p	q	n	p,temp	q,temp
Scenario 1 – OK	Process p	p1: temp $\leftarrow n$	q1: temp $\leftarrow n$	0	?	?
	Process q	p2: $n \leftarrow temp + 1$	q1: temp $\leftarrow n$	0	0	?
	(end)	(end)	q1: temp $\leftarrow n$	1	0	?
Scenario 2 – Bad result	Process p	p1: temp $\leftarrow n$	q2: $n \leftarrow temp + 1$	0	?	?
	Process q	p2: $n \leftarrow temp + 1$	q1: temp $\leftarrow n$	0	0	?
	(end)	(end)	q2: $n \leftarrow temp + 1$	1	0	?
From now on – Assignments and Boolean evaluations are atomic!	Process p	p1: temp $\leftarrow n$	q1: temp $\leftarrow n$	0	?	?
	Process q	p2: $n \leftarrow temp + 1$	q1: temp $\leftarrow n$	0	0	?
	(end)	(end)	q2: $n \leftarrow temp + 1$	1	0	?

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011 12

Correctness

- What is the correct answer?
- Usually clear for sequential programs
- Can be fuzzy for concurrent programs
 - Many correct answers?
 - What is intended semantics of the program?
 - Run programs 100 times, each time get different answer?
 - Each answer is correct, if program is correct!
 - Does not make debugging easier!
 - Usually can not test all possible scenarios (too many!)
 - How to define correctness for concurrent programs?
 - Safety properties = properties that are always true
 - Liveness properties = properties that eventually become true

"turvallisuus"
"elävyyss"

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011 13

Safety and Liveness

- Safety property **safety-ominaisuus, turvallisuus**
 - property must be true all the time ("bad" never happens)
 - "Identity"
 - memFree + memAllocated = memTotal **identiteetti, invariantti**
 - Mouse cursor is always displayed
 - System responds always to new commands
- Liveness property **elävyyss, liveness-ominaisuus**
 - Property must eventually become true ("good" eventually happens)
 - Variable n value = 2
 - System prompt for next command is shown
 - Control will resume to calling program
 - Philosopher will get his turn to eat
 - Eventually the mouse cursor is not displayed
 - Program will terminate
- Duality of safety and liveness properties
 - { P_i will get his turn to eat } ≡ not { P_i will never get his turn to eat }
 - { n value will become 2 } ≡ not { n value is always ≠ 2 }

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011 14

Linear Temporal Logic (LTL)

(lineaarinen) temporaalilogiikka

- Define safety and liveness properties for certain state in some (arbitrary) scenario
 - Example of Modal Temporal Logic (MDL), logic on concepts like possibility, impossibility, and necessity
- Alternative: Branching Temporal Logic (BTL)
 - Properties true in some or all states starting from the given state
 - More complex, because all future states must be covered
 - Common Temporal Logic (CTL)
 - Can be checked automatically
 - Every time computation reaches given state
 - SMV model checker
 - NuSMV model checker

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011 15

Fairness

reilius

- (Weakly) fair scenario
 - Wanted condition eventually occurs
 - Nobody is locked out forever?
 - Will a philosopher ever get his turn to eat?
 - Will an algorithm eventually stop?
 - p and q are both scheduled to run eventually

Algorithm 2.5: Stop the loop A	
integer n ← 0	
boolean flag ← false	
p	q
p1: while flag = false	q1: flag ← true
p2: n ← 1 - n	q2:

- All scenarios should be fair
 - One requirement in correct solution

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011 16

Machine Language Code

- What is atomic and what is not?
 - Assignment? **X = Y;**
 - Increment? **X = X+1;**

Algorithm 2.6: Assignment statement for a register machine	
integer n ← 0	
p	q
p1: load R1,n	q1: load R1,n
p2: add R1,#1	q2: add R1,#1
p3: store R1,n	q3: store R1,n

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011 17

Critical Reference

kriittinen viite

- Reference to variable v is critical reference, if ...
 - **Assigned value in P and read in Q**
 - Read directly or in a statement
- **Program** satisfies limited-critical-reference (LCR)
 - Each statement has at most one critical reference **rajoitettu kriittinen viite**
 - Easier to analyze than without this property
 - Each program is easy to transform into similar program with LCR

	P	Q	
Not LCR:	n = n+1;	n = n+1	Bad
Not LCR:	n = m+1;	m = n+1	Bad
LCR:	tempP = n+1; n = tempP;	tempQ = n+1; n = tempQ;	Good

LCR vs. atomicity? (ouch)

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011 18

Volatile and non-atomic variables

- Volatile variable riskialtis
 - Can be modified by many processes (must be in shared memory)
 - Advice for compiler (pragma)
 - Keep something in memory, not in register
 - Pseudocode – does not generate code
- Non-atomic variables
 - Multiword data structures: long ints, arrays, records, ...
 - Force access to be indivisible (atomic) in given order

What if compiler/hw decides to keep value of n in a register/cache? When is it stored back to memory? What if local1 & local2 were volatile?

Algorithm 2.8: Volatile variables

integer @ ← 0	
p	q
integer local1, local2	integer local
p1: n ← some expression	q1: local ← n + 6
p2: computation not using n	q2: ↑
p3: local1 ← (n + 5) * 7	q3: ↑
p4: local2 ← n + 5	q4: ↑
p5: n ← local1 * local2	q5: ↑

19

Example Program with Volatile Variables

Algorithm 2.9: Concurrent counting algorithm

p	q
integer temp	integer temp
p1: do 10 times	q1: do 10 times
p2: temp ← n	q2: temp ← n
p3: n ← temp + 1	q3: n ← temp + 1

- Can implement it in any concurrent programming language
 - (Extended) Pascal and (Extended) C
 - BACI (Ben-Ari Concurrency Interpreter)
 - Code automatically compiled (from Extended Pascal or C)
 - Ada
 - Java

Discuss

18.1.2011

Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011

20

Concurrent Program in Pascal (Ben-Ari Concurrent Pascal)

```

1 program count;
2 var n: integer := 0;
3
4 procedure p;
5 var temp, i: integer;
6 begin
7   for i := 1 to 10 do
8     begin
9       temp := n;
10      n := temp + 1;
11    end;
12 end;
13
14 procedure q;
15 var temp, i: integer;
16 begin
17   for i := 1 to 10 do
18     begin
19       temp := n;
20       n := temp + 1;
21     end;
22 end;
23
24 begin { main program }
25   cobegin p; q coend;
26   writeln('The value of n is ', n);
27 end.
    
```

n is volatile, because... it is assigned in one thread, and read in the other

18.1.2011

Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011

21

Concurrent Program in C (Ben-Ari Concurrent C, C--)

```

1 int n = 0;
2
3 void p() {
4   int temp, i;
5   for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
6     temp = n;
7     n = temp + 1;
8   }
9 }
10
11 void q() {
12   int temp, i;
13   for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
14     temp = n;
15     n = temp + 1;
16   }
17 }
18
19 void main() {
20   cobegin { p(); q(); }
21   cout << "The value of n is " << n << "\n";
22 }
    
```

What if compiler optimized and kept n in a register? Lets hope not! (in ExtPascal or C-- global (volatile) variables are seemingly kept in memory by default)

18.1.2011

Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011

22

Concurrent Program in Ada

```

1 with Ada.Text_IO; use Ada.Text_IO;
2 procedure Count is
3   N: Integer := 0;
4   pragma Volatile(N);
5
6   task type Count_Task;
7   task body Count_Task is
8     Temp: Integer;
9   begin
10    for I in 1..10 loop
11      Temp := N;
12      N := Temp + 1;
13    end loop;
14  end Count_Task;
15
16 begin
17   declare
18     P, Q: Count_Task;
19   begin
20     null;
21   end;
22   Put_Line("The value of N is " & Integer'Image(N));
23 end Count;
    
```

advice compiler to keep N in memory

18.1.2011

Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011

23

Concurrent Program in Java

```

1 class Count extends Thread {
2   static volatile int n = 0;
3
4   public void run() {
5     int temp;
6     for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
7       temp = n;
8       n = temp + 1;
9     }
10  }
11
12  try {
13    p.start();
14    q.start();
15  } catch (InterruptedException e) {}
16  System.out.println("The value of n is " + n);
17 }
    
```

How many threads really in parallel? • how to control it?

> javac Adder8.java
> java Adder8

Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011

24

Run Multi-threaded Java

Execute on 8-processor vera.cs.helsinki.fi?

```

kerola@vera:~/public_html/rio/Java/examples$ javac
Adder8.java
kerola@vera:~/public_html/rio/Java/examples$ java Adder8

finally n = 80000 = 37358

kerola@vera:~/public_html/rio/Java/examples$ java Adder8

finally n = 80000 = 34464
    
```

- Why different result?
- What is correct result?

Run them your self?
(Copy source code in your own directory)

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011 25

BACI

<http://inside.mines.edu/~tcamp/baci/baci.html>

- Ben-Ari Concurrency Interpreter
 - Write concurrent programs with
 - C- or Ben-Ari Concurrent Pascal (.cm and .pm suffixes)
 - Compile and run in BACI
 - GUI for Unix/Linux
- jBACI <http://stwww.weizmann.ac.il/g-cs/benari/ibaci/>
 - Just like BACI
 - GUI for Windows
- Installation <http://stwww.weizmann.ac.il/g-cs/benari/ibaci/ibaci-1.4.5.zip>
 - load version 1.4.5 jBACI executable files and example programs, unzip, edit config.cfg to have correct paths to bin/bacc.exe and bin/bapas.exe translators, click run.bat
- Use in class, homeworks and in project

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011 26

BACI Overall Structure

```

add.cm
...
void main() {
cobegin { add10();
          add10(); }
...
    
```

```

add.pco
LOAD_ADDR, push sum
LOAD_VALUE, push local
PUSH_LITERAL 1
DO_ADD, pop(1), s[t]=s[oldt-1]+s[oldt]
STORE, s[s(t-1)]=s[t], pop(2)
    
```

```

add.lst
...
17 24 void main() {
18 25   cobegin {add10(); add10();}
...
    
```

Executing PCODE ...

```

C n=1 i=A n=1 C2 i=
1 A
C n=4 i=2 C
B n=A n=5 i=24 A
    
```

<http://www.cs.helsinki.fi/u/kerola/rio/BACI/baci-c.pdf>

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011 27

jBACI

Just like BACI, but with Java

- requires Java v. 1.4 (SDK or JRE)
- Built-in compiler and interpreter
- edit state
- run state

```

1 /*
2 Add 10 to a variable in each of two processes.
3 The answer can be between 2 and 20.
4 Local variable enables bad scenario with source-level interleaving.
5 */
6 int sus = 0;
7
8 void add10() {
9     int local;
10    for (i = 1; i <= 10; i++) {
11        local = sus;
12        sus = local + 1;
13    }
14 }
15
16
17 void main() {
18     cobegin {
19         add10();
20         add10();
21     }
22     cout << "Sum = " << sus << endl;
23 }
24
    
```

<http://www.cs.helsinki.fi/u/kerola/rio/BACI/ibaci.pdf>

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011 28

jBACI IDE (integrated development environment)

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011

jBACI IDE (integrated development environment)

18.1.2011 Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011

Summary

- Abstraction, atomicity
- Concurrent program, program state
- Pseudo-language algorithms
- High level language algorithms
- BACI

18.1.2011

Copyright Teemu Kerola 2011

31